



US009374435B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Lazaridis et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,374,435 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Jun. 21, 2016**

(54) **SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR USING TRIGGER EVENTS AND A REDIRECTOR FLAG TO REDIRECT MESSAGES**

(75) Inventors: **Mihal Lazaridis**, Waterloo (CA); **Gary P. Mousseau**, Waterloo (CA)

(73) Assignee: **BlackBerry Limited**, Waterloo, Ontario (CA)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 1469 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **11/926,394**

(22) Filed: **Oct. 29, 2007**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**
US 2008/0052409 A1 Feb. 28, 2008

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Continuation of application No. 10/277,294, filed on Oct. 22, 2002, now abandoned, which is a division of application No. 09/782,129, filed on Feb. 13, 2001, now abandoned, which is a division of application No. 09/087,623, filed on May 29, 1998, now Pat. No. 6,219,694.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06F 15/16 (2006.01)
G06F 15/173 (2006.01)
H04L 12/54 (2013.01)
(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **H04L 67/2814** (2013.01); **H04L 51/14** (2013.01); **H04L 67/04** (2013.01); **H04L 67/26** (2013.01); **H04L 67/289** (2013.01)

(58) Field of Classification Search

CPC H04L 67/2814; H04L 67/04; H04L 67/26; H04L 67/289; H04L 51/14
USPC 709/225, 206, 207; 370/428; 455/412.2
See application file for complete search history.

(56) References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

1,675,422 A * 7/1928 Post 114/284
4,106,060 A 8/1978 Chapman, Jr.

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

AU 81845/94 7/1995
CA 2511594 11/1999

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Harry, Newton. "Newton's Telecom Dictionary", Feb. 2006, CMP Books, 22nd ed., p. 740.*

(Continued)


Primary Examiner — Daniel C Murray

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Fish & Richardson P.C.

(57) ABSTRACT

A system and method of redirecting messages between a mail system and a mobile communications device, upon determining an occurrence of a trigger event that is configured to initiate redirection of messages at the mail system with respect to the user, the system sets a redirection flag associated with the user. Upon detecting arrival of a new message at the mail system for the user, the system determines whether the redirection flag associated with the user is set and if the redirection flag is set, causes an instance of the new message to be packaged for transmission to the mobile communications device associated with the user.

10 Claims, 5 Drawing Sheets



(51)	Int. Cl.			5,557,569 A	9/1996	Smayling et al.
	H04M 1/725	(2006.01)		5,557,659 A	9/1996	Hyde-Thomson
	H04L 29/08	(2006.01)		5,559,800 A	9/1996	Mousseau et al.
	H04L 12/58	(2006.01)		5,572,528 A	11/1996	Shuen
				5,577,202 A	11/1996	Padgett
				5,579,472 A	11/1996	Keyworth, II et al.
				5,588,009 A	12/1996	Will
(56)	References Cited			5,598,536 A	1/1997	Slaughter, III et al.
	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS			5,603,054 A	2/1997	Goldstein et al.
				5,604,491 A	2/1997	Coonley et al.
	4,417,349 A	11/1983	Hills et al.	5,604,788 A	2/1997	Tett
	4,438,433 A	3/1984	Smoot et al.	5,613,108 A	3/1997	Morikawa
	4,558,454 A	12/1985	Hills et al.	5,625,670 A	4/1997	Campana, Jr. et al.
	4,644,351 A	2/1987	Zabarsky et al.	5,627,829 A	5/1997	Gleeson et al.
	4,695,880 A	9/1987	Johnson et al.	5,630,060 A	5/1997	Tang et al.
	4,697,281 A	9/1987	O'Sullivan	5,631,945 A	5/1997	Geluk
	4,713,780 A	12/1987	Schultz et al.	5,631,946 A	5/1997	Campana, Jr. et al.
	4,763,291 A	8/1988	Schwaber	5,633,810 A	5/1997	Mandal et al.
	4,768,087 A	8/1988	Taub et al.	5,638,450 A	6/1997	Robson
	4,837,798 A	6/1989	Cohen et al.	5,666,530 A	9/1997	Clark et al.
	4,837,800 A	6/1989	Freeburg et al.	5,666,553 A	9/1997	Crozier
	4,845,658 A	7/1989	Gifford	5,673,316 A	9/1997	Auerbach et al.
	4,856,047 A	8/1989	Saunders	5,673,322 A	9/1997	Brockman et al.
	4,928,096 A	5/1990	Leonardo et al.	5,675,507 A	10/1997	Bobo, II
	4,935,954 A	6/1990	Thompson et al.	5,675,733 A	10/1997	Williams
	4,951,044 A	8/1990	Nelson et al.	5,701,423 A	12/1997	Crozier
	4,972,457 A	11/1990	O'Sullivan	5,705,995 A	1/1998	Laffin et al.
	4,980,907 A	12/1990	Raith et al.	5,706,211 A	1/1998	Beletic et al.
	4,994,985 A	2/1991	Cree et al.	5,727,159 A	3/1998	Kikinis
	5,008,926 A	4/1991	Misholi	5,727,202 A	3/1998	Kucala
	5,040,141 A	8/1991	Yazima et al.	5,729,735 A	3/1998	Meyering
	5,043,721 A	8/1991	May	5,732,074 A	3/1998	Spaur et al.
	5,058,431 A	10/1991	Karwacki et al.	5,737,531 A	4/1998	Ehley
	5,068,916 A	11/1991	Harrison et al.	5,742,668 A	4/1998	Pepe et al.
	5,086,502 A	2/1992	Malcolm	5,742,905 A *	4/1998	Pepe et al. 455/461
	5,115,326 A	5/1992	Burgess et al.	5,745,689 A	4/1998	Yeager et al.
	5,125,021 A	6/1992	Lebowitz	5,748,619 A	5/1998	Meier
	5,127,041 A	6/1992	O'Sullivan	5,751,960 A	5/1998	Matsunaga
	5,128,981 A	7/1992	Tsukamoto et al.	5,751,971 A	5/1998	Dobbins et al.
	5,136,291 A	8/1992	Teague	5,754,778 A	5/1998	Shoujima
	5,157,660 A	10/1992	Kuwahara et al.	5,754,954 A	5/1998	Ghomeshi et al.
	5,159,592 A	10/1992	Perkins	5,757,901 A	5/1998	Hiroshige
	5,177,680 A	1/1993	Tsukino et al.	5,758,088 A	5/1998	Bezairc et al.
	5,181,200 A	1/1993	Harrison	5,758,150 A	5/1998	Bell et al.
	5,210,785 A	5/1993	Sato et al.	5,758,354 A	5/1998	Huang et al.
	5,239,466 A	8/1993	Morgan et al.	5,761,416 A	6/1998	Mandal et al.
	5,265,033 A *	11/1993	Vajk et al. 709/206	5,764,639 A	6/1998	Staples et al.
	5,283,887 A	2/1994	Zachery	5,764,899 A	6/1998	Eggleston et al.
	5,285,496 A	2/1994	Frank et al.	5,765,170 A	6/1998	Morikawa
	5,293,250 A	3/1994	Okumura et al.	5,771,353 A	6/1998	Eggleston et al.
	5,299,255 A	3/1994	Iwaki et al.	5,771,355 A *	6/1998	Kuzma 709/232
	5,307,059 A	4/1994	Connary et al.	5,781,614 A	7/1998	Brunson
	5,313,582 A	5/1994	Hendel et al.	5,781,901 A	7/1998	Kuzma
	5,315,635 A	5/1994	Kane et al.	5,790,790 A	8/1998	Smith et al.
	5,333,152 A	7/1994	Wilber	5,790,974 A	8/1998	Tognazzini
	5,333,266 A	7/1994	Boaz et al.	5,796,806 A	8/1998	Birckbichler
	5,361,397 A	11/1994	Wright	5,797,094 A *	8/1998	Houde et al. 455/412.2
	5,370,566 A	12/1994	Mitchell, Jr. et al.	5,802,275 A	9/1998	Blonder
	5,377,354 A *	12/1994	Scannell et al. 718/103	5,812,671 A	9/1998	Ross, Jr.
	5,392,390 A	2/1995	Crozier	5,812,773 A	9/1998	Norin
	5,406,557 A	4/1995	Baudoin	5,812,819 A	9/1998	Rodwin et al.
	5,410,543 A	4/1995	Seitz et al.	5,813,016 A	9/1998	Sumimoto
	5,416,473 A	5/1995	Dulaney, III et al.	5,815,081 A	9/1998	Motohashi
	5,416,842 A	5/1995	Aziz	5,819,172 A	10/1998	Campana, Jr. et al.
	5,418,908 A	5/1995	Keller et al.	5,819,284 A	10/1998	Farber et al.
	5,436,960 A	7/1995	Campana, Jr. et al.	5,822,434 A	10/1998	Caronni et al.
	5,438,011 A	8/1995	Blalock et al.	5,826,023 A	10/1998	Hall et al.
	5,438,611 A	8/1995	Campana, Jr. et al.	5,826,062 A	10/1998	Fake, Jr. et al.
	5,452,356 A	9/1995	Albert	5,831,664 A	11/1998	Wharton et al.
	5,455,864 A	10/1995	Park	5,838,252 A	11/1998	Kikinis
	5,457,680 A	10/1995	Trimmer et al.	5,838,926 A	11/1998	Yamagishi
	5,479,472 A	12/1995	Campana, Jr. et al.	5,844,969 A	12/1998	Goldman et al.
	5,483,466 A *	1/1996	Kawahara et al. 709/203	5,850,219 A *	12/1998	Kumomura 715/751
	5,487,100 A *	1/1996	Kane 340/7.23	5,850,444 A	12/1998	Rune
	5,493,692 A	2/1996	Theimer et al.	5,850,517 A	12/1998	Verkler et al.
	5,495,484 A	2/1996	Self et al.	5,852,631 A	12/1998	Scott
	5,510,543 A	4/1996	Fulmer et al.	5,854,841 A	12/1998	Nakata et al.
	5,530,740 A	6/1996	Irribarren et al.	5,862,321 A	1/1999	Lamming et al.
	5,548,789 A	8/1996	Nakanura	5,862,325 A	1/1999	Reed et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,864,669	A *	1/1999	Osterman et al.	709/203	6,085,232	A	7/2000	Kikinis	
5,867,660	A	2/1999	Schmidt et al.		6,091,951	A	7/2000	Sturniolo et al.	
5,878,434	A	3/1999	Draper et al.		6,092,114	A	7/2000	Shaffer et al.	
5,881,235	A	3/1999	Mills		6,092,191	A	7/2000	Shimbo et al.	
5,884,033	A	3/1999	Duvall et al.		6,101,531	A	8/2000	Eggleston et al.	
5,889,845	A	3/1999	Staples et al.		6,112,244	A	8/2000	Moore et al.	
5,890,054	A	3/1999	Logsdon		6,115,394	A	9/2000	Balachandran et al.	
5,900,875	A	5/1999	Haitani et al.		6,115,736	A	9/2000	Devarakonda et al.	
5,903,723	A	5/1999	Beck et al.		6,115,754	A	9/2000	Landgren	
5,905,777	A	5/1999	Foladare et al.		6,118,856	A	9/2000	Paarsmarkt et al.	
5,913,040	A	6/1999	Rakavy et al.		6,119,167	A	9/2000	Boyle et al.	
5,915,087	A	6/1999	Hammond et al.		6,125,281	A	9/2000	Wells et al.	
5,917,629	A	6/1999	Hortensius et al.		6,125,359	A	9/2000	Lautzenheiser et al.	
5,928,329	A	7/1999	Clark et al.		6,125,369	A	9/2000	Wu et al.	
5,937,161	A *	8/1999	Mulligan et al.	709/206	6,128,739	A	10/2000	Fleming, III	
5,941,596	A	8/1999	See		6,130,892	A	10/2000	Short et al.	
5,941,954	A	8/1999	Kalajan		6,131,096	A	10/2000	Ng et al.	
5,941,956	A	8/1999	Shirakihara et al.		6,131,116	A	10/2000	Riggins et al.	
5,943,426	A	8/1999	Frith et al.		6,134,432	A	10/2000	Holmes et al.	
5,944,786	A	8/1999	Quinn		6,138,089	A	10/2000	Guberman	
5,948,066	A	9/1999	Whalen et al.		6,138,146	A	10/2000	Moon et al.	
5,950,219	A	9/1999	Rao		6,138,158	A *	10/2000	Boyle et al.	709/225
5,951,636	A	9/1999	Zerber		6,141,690	A	10/2000	Weiman	
5,953,322	A	9/1999	Kimball		6,144,671	A	11/2000	Perinpanathan et al.	
5,958,006	A	9/1999	Eggleston et al.		6,144,997	A	11/2000	Lamming et al.	
5,959,621	A	9/1999	Nawaz et al.		6,148,405	A	11/2000	Liao et al.	
5,960,406	A	9/1999	Rasansky et al.		6,151,606	A	11/2000	Mendez	
5,961,590	A	10/1999	Mendez et al.		6,154,839	A	11/2000	Arrow et al.	
5,964,833	A	10/1999	Kikinis		6,157,318	A	12/2000	Minata	
5,966,663	A	10/1999	Gleason		6,157,630	A	12/2000	Adler et al.	
5,966,714	A	10/1999	Huang et al.		6,157,935	A	12/2000	Tran et al.	
5,968,131	A	10/1999	Mendez et al.		6,157,941	A	12/2000	Verkler et al.	
5,969,636	A	10/1999	Parvulescu et al.		6,157,950	A	12/2000	Krishman	
5,973,612	A	10/1999	Deo et al.		6,161,102	A	12/2000	Yanagihara et al.	
5,974,180	A	10/1999	Schwendeman		6,161,140	A	12/2000	Moriya	
5,974,238	A	10/1999	Chase, Jr.		6,163,274	A	12/2000	Lindgren	
5,974,449	A	10/1999	Chang et al.		6,167,379	A	12/2000	Dean et al.	
5,978,689	A	11/1999	Tuoriniemi et al.		6,170,057	B1	1/2001	Inoue et al.	
5,978,837	A	11/1999	Foladare		6,178,331	B1	1/2001	Holmes et al.	
5,983,073	A	11/1999	Ditzik		6,182,118	B1	1/2001	Finney et al.	
5,987,100	A	11/1999	Fortman et al.		6,185,551	B1	2/2001	Birrell et al.	
5,987,508	A	11/1999	Agraharam et al.		6,185,603	B1	2/2001	Henderson et al.	
5,995,597	A *	11/1999	Woltz et al.	379/93.24	6,195,533	B1	2/2001	Tkatch et al.	
5,999,595	A *	12/1999	Shaffer et al.	379/88.18	6,199,102	B1	3/2001	Cobb	
6,000,000	A	12/1999	Hawkins et al.		6,202,085	B1	3/2001	Benson et al.	
6,002,427	A	12/1999	Kipust		6,203,192	B1	3/2001	Fortman	
6,002,769	A	12/1999	McGough		6,205,448	B1	3/2001	Kruglikov et al.	
6,006,274	A	12/1999	Hawkins et al.		6,208,996	B1	3/2001	Ben-Shachar et al.	
6,009,173	A	12/1999	Sumner		6,219,694	B1 *	4/2001	Lazaridis et al.	709/206
6,009,455	A	12/1999	Doyle		6,222,942	B1	4/2001	Martin	
6,014,429	A	1/2000	LaPorta et al.		6,233,318	B1	5/2001	Picard et al.	
6,016,478	A	1/2000	Zhang et al.		6,233,341	B1	5/2001	Riggins	
6,018,762	A	1/2000	Brunson et al.		6,237,027	B1	5/2001	Namekawa	
6,018,782	A	1/2000	Hartmann		6,240,088	B1	5/2001	Gayton et al.	
6,021,433	A	2/2000	Payne et al.		6,243,577	B1	6/2001	Elrefaie et al.	
6,023,000	A	2/2000	Fritz-Langhals et al.		6,249,291	B1 *	6/2001	Popp et al.	345/473
6,023,700	A *	2/2000	Owens et al.		6,249,805	B1	6/2001	Fleming	
6,023,708	A	2/2000	Mendez et al.		6,249,820	B1	6/2001	Dobbins et al.	
6,034,621	A	3/2000	Kaufman		6,256,666	B1	7/2001	Singhal	
6,035,104	A *	3/2000	Zahariev	709/203	6,263,201	B1	7/2001	Hashimoto et al.	
6,044,205	A	3/2000	Reed et al.		6,272,545	B1	8/2001	Flanagin et al.	
6,044,275	A *	3/2000	Boltz et al.	455/466	6,275,848	B1	8/2001	Arnold	
6,052,442	A	4/2000	Cooper et al.		6,275,850	B1	8/2001	Beyda et al.	
6,052,563	A *	4/2000	Macko	340/7.1	6,289,105	B1	9/2001	Murota	
6,052,735	A	4/2000	Ulrich et al.		6,289,212	B1	9/2001	Stein et al.	
6,058,431	A	5/2000	Srisuresh et al.		6,292,668	B1	9/2001	Alanara et al.	
6,067,561	A	5/2000	Dillon		6,298,232	B1 *	10/2001	Marin et al.	455/413
6,072,862	A	6/2000	Srinivasan		6,301,608	B1	10/2001	Rochkind	
6,073,137	A	6/2000	Brown et al.		6,304,881	B1	10/2001	Halim et al.	
6,073,165	A	6/2000	Narasimhan et al.		6,311,282	B1	10/2001	Nelson et al.	
6,078,826	A	6/2000	Croft et al.		6,313,734	B1	11/2001	Weiss et al.	
6,078,921	A	6/2000	Kelley		6,314,108	B1	11/2001	Ramasubramani et al.	
6,084,969	A	7/2000	Wright et al.		6,314,519	B1	11/2001	Davis et al.	
6,085,192	A	7/2000	Mendez et al.		6,324,544	B1	11/2001	Alam et al.	
6,085,231	A	7/2000	Agraharam et al.		6,324,587	B1	11/2001	Trenbeath et al.	
					6,327,046	B1	12/2001	Miyamoto et al.	
					6,330,244	B1	12/2001	Swartz et al.	
					6,332,156	B1	12/2001	Cho et al.	
					6,333,973	B1	12/2001	Smith et al.	

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,345,298	B1	2/2002	Moriya	7,415,486	B2 *	8/2008	Multer
6,356,937	B1	3/2002	Montville et al.	7,444,375	B2	10/2008	McConnell et al.
6,356,956	B1	3/2002	Deo et al.	7,447,743	B1 *	11/2008	Jordan, Jr. 709/206
6,360,210	B1	3/2002	Wallman	7,487,204	B2 *	2/2009	Asthana et al. 709/203
6,360,221	B1 *	3/2002	Gough et al.	7,508,777	B2 *	3/2009	Dowling 370/261
6,360,272	B1	3/2002	Lincke et al.	7,509,376	B2 *	3/2009	Mousseau et al. 709/206
6,363,352	B1	3/2002	Dailey et al.	7,539,665	B2	5/2009	Mendez
6,363,412	B1	3/2002	Niwa et al.	7,643,818	B2 *	1/2010	Backholm et al. 455/412.1
6,370,566	B2	4/2002	Discolo et al.	7,650,377	B2 *	1/2010	Knowles et al. 709/203
6,373,946	B1	4/2002	Johnston	7,656,275	B2 *	2/2010	Marui 340/309.16
6,381,634	B1	4/2002	Tello et al.	7,668,535	B2 *	2/2010	Conneely et al. 455/412.2
6,381,645	B1	4/2002	Sassin	7,685,244	B2 *	3/2010	Mousseau et al. 709/206
6,389,455	B1	5/2002	Fuisz	7,689,721	B2 *	3/2010	Mousseau et al. 709/248
6,389,457	B2 *	5/2002	Lazaridis et al. 709/207	7,711,362	B2 *	5/2010	Bumiller 455/435.1
6,393,421	B1 *	5/2002	Paglin	7,734,281	B2 *	6/2010	Ferguson et al. 455/412.1
6,393,463	B1	5/2002	Fuchigami	7,739,334	B1	6/2010	Ng et al.
6,400,958	B1	6/2002	Isomursu et al.	7,752,166	B2	7/2010	Quinlan et al.
6,401,112	B1	6/2002	Boyer et al.	7,761,522	B2 *	7/2010	Shenfield et al. 709/206
6,401,113	B2 *	6/2002	Lazaridis et al. 709/207	7,769,400	B2 *	8/2010	Backholm et al. 709/206
6,421,707	B1	7/2002	Miller et al.	7,779,151	B2 *	8/2010	Major et al. 709/236
6,421,781	B1	7/2002	Fox et al.	7,788,330	B2 *	8/2010	Goggin 709/206
6,438,585	B2 *	8/2002	Mousseau et al. 709/206	7,801,959	B1 *	9/2010	Lennie et al. 709/206
6,442,589	B1	8/2002	Takahashi et al.	7,818,033	B2 *	10/2010	Ferguson et al. 455/567
6,449,287	B1	9/2002	Leuca et al.	7,827,281	B2 *	11/2010	Abbott et al. 709/225
6,463,463	B1 *	10/2002	Godfrey et al. 709/206	7,836,131	B2 *	11/2010	Tosey 709/206
6,463,464	B1 *	10/2002	Lazaridis et al. 709/207	7,836,138	B2 *	11/2010	Tosey 709/206
6,470,358	B1	10/2002	Beyda et al.	7,870,228	B2 *	1/2011	Chiu et al. 709/219
6,477,243	B1	11/2002	Choksi et al.	7,886,005	B1 *	2/2011	Zahariev 709/206
6,487,560	B1	11/2002	LaRue et al.	7,912,896	B2	3/2011	Wolovitz et al.
6,493,007	B1	12/2002	Pang	7,917,505	B2 *	3/2011	van Gent et al. 707/732
6,505,055	B1	1/2003	Kahn et al.	7,929,960	B2 *	4/2011	Martin et al. 455/419
6,505,214	B1	1/2003	Sherman et al.	7,945,617	B2 *	5/2011	Knowles et al. 709/203
6,546,263	B1	4/2003	Petty et al.	7,953,802	B2 *	5/2011	Mousseau et al. 709/206
6,580,787	B1	6/2003	Akhteruzzaman et al.	7,958,198	B2 *	6/2011	Tosey 709/206
6,591,291	B1	7/2003	Gabber et al.	8,005,469	B2 *	8/2011	Adams et al. 455/418
6,611,358	B1	8/2003	Narayanaswamy	8,012,219	B2	9/2011	Mendez et al.
6,630,883	B1	10/2003	Amin et al.	8,024,416	B2 *	9/2011	Ferguson et al. 709/207
6,697,458	B1	2/2004	Kunjibettu	8,041,776	B2	10/2011	Friedman et al.
6,701,378	B1 *	3/2004	Gilhuly et al. 709/249	8,050,661	B2 *	11/2011	Mousseau et al. 455/412.1
6,708,221	B1	3/2004	Mendez et al.	8,060,064	B2 *	11/2011	Khoury et al. 455/412.2
6,738,789	B2 *	5/2004	Multer et al.	8,060,564	B2 *	11/2011	Mousseau et al. 709/206
6,742,022	B1	5/2004	King et al.	8,063,751	B2 *	11/2011	Marui 340/309.16
6,757,696	B2 *	6/2004	Multer et al.	8,065,436	B2 *	11/2011	Asthana et al. 709/245
6,763,202	B2	7/2004	Maeda	8,069,144	B2	11/2011	Quinlan et al.
6,766,454	B1	7/2004	Riggins	8,116,214	B2 *	2/2012	Backholm et al. 370/252
6,775,264	B1	8/2004	Kurganov	8,117,344	B2	2/2012	Mendez et al.
6,779,019	B1 *	8/2004	Mousseau et al. 709/206	8,134,954	B2 *	3/2012	Godfrey et al. 370/328
6,779,022	B1	8/2004	Horstmann et al.	8,150,431	B2	4/2012	Wolovitz et al.
6,781,962	B1	8/2004	Williams et al.	8,230,026	B2 *	7/2012	Gilhuly et al. 709/206
6,804,707	B1 *	10/2004	Ronning 709/220	8,259,611	B2 *	9/2012	Godfrey et al. 370/252
6,810,408	B1 *	10/2004	Bates et al. 709/200	8,291,029	B2 *	10/2012	Asthana et al. 709/206
6,850,219	B2	2/2005	Aoyama et al.	8,407,305	B2	3/2013	Lazaridis et al.
6,912,398	B1 *	6/2005	Domnitz 455/461	2001/0001552	A1	5/2001	Vong et al.
6,941,349	B2 *	9/2005	Godfrey et al. 709/207	2001/0004744	A1 *	6/2001	Lazaridis et al. 709/206
6,983,308	B1	1/2006	Oberhaus et al.	2001/0005857	A1 *	6/2001	Lazaridis et al. 709/219
7,039,679	B2	5/2006	Mendez et al.	2001/0005860	A1 *	6/2001	Lazaridis et al. 709/245
7,116,994	B2 *	10/2006	Hatch 455/466	2001/0005861	A1 *	6/2001	Mousseau et al. 709/245
7,171,199	B1 *	1/2007	Rahman 455/433	2001/0005864	A1 *	6/2001	Mousseau et al. 709/318
7,209,949	B2 *	4/2007	Mousseau et al. 709/206	2001/0009015	A1 *	7/2001	Mousseau et al. 709/206
7,209,955	B1 *	4/2007	Major et al. 709/207	2001/0013071	A1 *	8/2001	Lazaridis et al. 709/246
7,212,811	B2 *	5/2007	Dowling et al. 455/414.1	2001/0015977	A1	8/2001	Johansson
7,225,231	B2	5/2007	Mendez et al.	2001/0029531	A1	10/2001	Ohta
7,228,383	B2	6/2007	Friedman et al.	2001/0040693	A1	11/2001	Saito et al.
7,243,163	B1 *	7/2007	Friend et al. 709/248	2001/0042093	A1	11/2001	Shirai et al.
7,266,365	B2 *	9/2007	Ferguson et al. 455/412.1	2001/0045885	A1	11/2001	Tett
7,284,045	B1	10/2007	Marl et al.	2001/0054072	A1	12/2001	Discolo et al.
7,287,271	B1	10/2007	Riggins	2001/0054115	A1 *	12/2001	Ferguson et al. 709/248
7,295,836	B2 *	11/2007	Yach et al. 455/415	2002/0007376	A1 *	1/2002	Popp et al. 707/513
7,317,699	B2 *	1/2008	Godfrey et al. 370/328	2002/0010748	A1	1/2002	Kobayashi et al.
7,321,920	B2 *	1/2008	Washburn 709/206	2002/0023213	A1	2/2002	Walker et al.
7,346,505	B1 *	3/2008	Sherwood 704/235	2002/0039899	A1	4/2002	Rossman
7,346,690	B1 *	3/2008	Sinha et al. 709/227	2002/0049818	A1 *	4/2002	Gilhuly et al. 709/206
7,363,349	B2	4/2008	Friedman et al.	2002/0049858	A1	4/2002	Frietas et al.
7,373,517	B1	5/2008	Riggins	2002/0059380	A1	5/2002	Biliris et al.
7,386,588	B2 *	6/2008	Mousseau et al. 709/205	2002/0065889	A1	5/2002	Macor
				2002/0069220	A1	6/2002	Tran
				2002/0099719	A1	7/2002	Schwitters et al.
				2002/0120696	A1 *	8/2002	Mousseau et al. 709/206
				2002/0143880	A1 *	10/2002	Sansone et al. 709/206

References Cited

2008/0288604	A1 *	11/2008	Major et al.	709/207
2008/0288605	A1 *	11/2008	Major et al.	709/207
2009/0106380	A1 *	4/2009	Asthana et al.	709/206
2009/0172079	A1	7/2009	Eggleston et al.	
2009/0178186	A1	7/2009	Truesdale	
2009/0181705	A1 *	7/2009	Yin	455/466
2009/0204571	A1 *	8/2009	Shizuno	707/2
2009/0207743	A1 *	8/2009	Huq et al.	370/250
2009/0207745	A1 *	8/2009	Huq et al.	370/252
2009/0209250	A1 *	8/2009	Huq	455/425
2010/0003968	A1 *	1/2010	Cheng	455/412.1
2010/0063352	A1	3/2010	Matsuura	
2010/0173658	A1 *	7/2010	Fan et al.	455/466
2010/0225495	A1 *	9/2010	Marui	340/635
2010/0227632	A1 *	9/2010	Bell et al.	455/466
2010/0250695	A1 *	9/2010	Shenfield et al.	709/206
2010/0281153	A1 *	11/2010	Chiu et al.	709/223
2011/0125856	A1 *	5/2011	Chu et al.	709/206
2011/0202597	A1 *	8/2011	Mousseau et al.	709/203
2012/0047215	A1 *	2/2012	Asthana et al.	709/206
2012/0072512	A1 *	3/2012	Lazaridis et al.	709/206
2012/0131224	A1 *	5/2012	Lazaridis et al.	709/238
2012/0136980	A1 *	5/2012	Godfrey et al.	709/223
2012/0303770	A1 *	11/2012	Godfrey et al.	709/220
2012/0324031	A1 *	12/2012	Asthana et al.	709/206

CA	2213383	5/2001	
CA	2333881	12/2002	
CA	2356004	7/2003	
CA	2356324	7/2003	
CA	2367135	11/2005	
DE	4440419	5/1996	
EP	0077232	4/1983	
EP	0128441	12/1984	
EP	0128439	1/1988	
EP	0777394	6/1994	
EP	0732661	9/1996	
EP	0 772 327	A1 *	5/1997
EP	0932320		7/1997
EP	0 788 287		8/1997
EP	0793387		9/1997
EP	0803808		10/1997
EP	0825788		2/1998
EP	0838934		4/1998
EP	1096727		3/2006
EP	1675422	A1 *	6/2006
EP	1096726		7/2009
JP	08-009053		1/1996
JP	08-070300		3/1996
JP	2008-288962		11/1996
JP	2008-298520		11/1996
JP	09-181723		7/1997
JP	10-063590		3/1998
JP	2006-232901		9/2006
JP	2007-175603		7/2007
JP	2008-137776		6/2008
JP	09-130424		6/2009
JP	2010-63590		3/2010
JP	10-074221		4/2010
WO	99/19958		4/1994
WO	94/30000		12/1994
WO	96/19064		6/1996
WO	9703341		8/1997
WO	97 32251		9/1997
WO	97/33421		9/1997
WO	97/35402		9/1997
WO	97 41654		11/1997
WO	97/44942		11/1997
WO	98 00787		1/1998
WO	98/21911		5/1998
WO	98/23108		5/1998
WO	99/45484		9/1999
WO	00/54072		9/2000

(56)

References Cited

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO	01/41472	6/2001
WO	2010/074221	7/2010

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Canadian OA, Application No. 200810074204.4, Canadian IPO, Apr. 14, 2010, 7 pgs.

EP Communication Search Report, Application No. 00 128 447.0, European Patent Office, Oct. 8, 2001, 3 pgs.

EP Communication Examination Report, Application No. 00 128 447.0, European Patent Office, Feb. 1, 2005, 6 pgs.

EP Communication Examination Report, Application No. 00 128 447.0, European Patent Office, Sep. 9, 2005, 7 pgs.

EP Communication Examination Report, Application No. 00 128 447.0, European Patent Office, Sep. 18, 2006, 4 pgs.

EP Communication Examination Report, Application No. 00 128 447.0, European Patent Office, Jul. 13, 2007, 2 pgs.

EP Communication Examination Report, Application No. 00 128 447.0, European Patent Office, Feb. 16, 2009, 4 pgs.

EP Communication Examination Report, Application No. 00 128 447.0, European Patent Office, Sep. 7, 2009, 2 pgs.

EP Communication Search Report, Application No. 02 001 801.6, European Patent Office, Jul. 2, 2003, 6 pgs.

EP Communication Examination Report, Application No. 02 001 801.6, European Patent Office, May 27, 2005, 7 pgs.

EP Communication Examination Report, Application No. 02 001 801.6, European Patent Office, Feb. 11, 2008, 9 pgs.

EP Communication Examination Report, Application No. 02 001 801.6, European Patent Office, Nov. 28, 2008, 5 pgs.

EP Communication Examination Report, Application No. 02 001 801.6, European Patent Office, Oct. 4, 2010, 5 pgs.

US Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 10/277,294, USPTO, Mar. 23, 2006, 36 pgs.

US Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 10/277,294, USPTO, May 21, 2007, 17 pgs.

US Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 10/277,294, USPTO, Feb. 4, 2008, 12 pgs.

US Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 10/277,294, USPTO, Jul. 29, 2008, 13 pgs.

US Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 10/277,294, USPTO, Oct. 2, 2008, 3 pgs.

US Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 10/277,294, USPTO, Feb. 12, 2009, 13 pgs.

US Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 10/277,294, USPTO, Aug. 3, 2009, 13 pgs.

US Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 10/277,294, USPTO, Jan. 15, 2010, 35 pgs.

US Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 10/277,294, USPTO, Jul. 8, 2010, 32 pgs.

EPO, Communication Under Rule 71(3) EPC, Application No. 00128447.0, Mar. 11, 2011, 5 pgs.

JPO, Notice of Reasons for Rejection, Application No. 2010-124520, Aug. 23, 2011, 9 pgs.

Rukayawa, Practical Mobile Computing Course, Nikkei Communications, No. 246, May 19, 1997, p. 140-45, Nikkei Business Publications, Inc., Japan.

IP India, Hearing Held on Sep. 12, 2011: Decision, Application No. IN/PCT/2000/00350/DEL, Dec. 22, 2011, 2 pgs.

United States District Court Southern District of New York, Complaint, Document 1, *Mahmood v. Research in Motion Ltd.*, Case 1:11-cv-05345-KBF, Filed Aug. 1, 2011, 432 Pgs.

United States District Court Southern District of New York, Motion to Dismiss, Document 12, *Mahmood v. Research in Motion Ltd.*, Case 1:11-cv-05345-KBF, Filed Aug. 22, 2011, 29 Pgs.

United States District Court Southern District of New York, Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, Document 14, *Mahmood v. Research in Motion Ltd.*, Case 1:11-cv-05345-KBF, Filed Nov. 6, 2011, 32 Pgs.

United States District Court Southern District of New York, Reply Memorandum in Support of Motion to Dismiss, Document 15, *Mahmood v. Research in Motion Ltd.*, Case 1:11-cv-05345-KBF, Filed Nov. 17, 2011, 14 Pgs.

United States District Court Southern District of New York, Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Letter Submission, Document 29, *Mahmood v. Research in Motion Ltd.*, Case 1:11-cv-05345-KBF, Filed Dec. 28, 2011, 7 Pgs.

United States District Court Southern District of New York, Memorandum Opinion and Order, Document 35, *Mahmood v. Research in Motion Ltd.*, Case 1:11-cv-05345-KBF, Filed Jan. 24, 2012, 24 Pgs.

United States District Court Southern District of New York, Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Second Motion for Summary Judgment, Document 45, *Mahmood v. Research in Motion Ltd.*, Case 1:11-cv-05345-KBF, Filed Mar. 2, 2012, 29 Pgs.

United States District Court Southern District of New York, Complaint, Document 1, *Mahmood v. Research in Motion Ltd.*, Case 1:12-cv-00899-KBF, Filed Feb. 3, 2012, 416 Pgs.

CIPO, Office Action, Application No. 2,333,881, Apr. 26, 2001, 2 pgs.

CIPO, Office Action, Application No. 2,333,881, Jun. 21, 2001, 2 pgs.

CIPO, Office Action, Application No. 2,333,881, Mar. 1, 2002, 3 pgs.

CIPO, Office Action, Application No. 2,356,004, Oct. 16, 2001, 2 pgs.

CIPO, Office Action, Application No. 2,356,004, May 14, 2002, 2 pgs.

CIPO, Office Action, Application No. 2,356,324, Oct. 2, 2001, 1 pg.

CIPO, Office Action, Application No. 2,356,324, Oct. 16, 2001, 3 pgs.

CIPO, Office Action, Application No. 2,356,324, Feb. 14, 2002, 3 pgs.

CIPO, Office Action, Application No. 2,367,135, May 8, 2002, 2 pgs.

CIPO, Office Action, Application No. 2,367,135, Nov. 18, 2002, 2 pgs.

CIPO, Office Action, Application No. 2,367,135, Oct. 29, 2003, 5 pgs.

CIPO, Office Action, Application No. 2,367,135, May 25, 2004, 4 pgs.

ISA/PCT, International Search Report, Application No. PCT/AU97/00260, Jun. 4, 1997, 2 pgs.

ISA/PCT, International Search Report, Application No. PCT/CA99/00494, Feb. 28, 2000, 10 pgs.

SIPO, Rejection Decision of the Application, Application No. 200810074204.4, Apr. 14, 2010, 8 pgs.

SIPO, Rejection Decision of the Application, Application No. 200810074204.4, Dec. 21, 2010, 6 pgs.

US District Court Eastern District of Texas, Memorandum Opinion and Order, Doc. 198, *Visto Corp. v. Research in Motion Ltd.*, Case No. 2:06-cv-00181-TJW-CE, dated Apr. 30, 2008, 39 pgs.

BPAI USPTO, Eggleston's Motion 1 (Anticipation and Obviousness), Doc. 31, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, filed Nov. 12, 2009, 124 pgs.

BPAI USPTO, Lazaridis Substantive Motion 1 (For Lack of Written Description), Doc. 36, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, filed Nov. 12, 2009, 32 pgs.

BPAI USPTO, Lazaridis Substantive Motion 2 (For Judgment Based on Unpatentability Under 35 U.S.C. 112, Par. 2), Doc. 37, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, filed Nov. 12, 2009, 59 pgs.

BPAI USPTO, Lazaridis Substantive Motion 3 (For Judgement Based on Unpatentability Under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103), Doc. 38, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, filed Nov. 12, 2009, 43 pgs.

BPAI USPTO, Lazaridis Substantive Motion 4 (To Undesignate Claims as Corresponding to the Count), Doc. 39, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, filed Nov. 12, 2009, 24 pgs.

BPAI USPTO, Eggleston's Opposition 1, Doc. 68, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, filed Feb. 26, 2010, 59 pgs.

BPAI USPTO, Eggleston's Opposition 2, Doc. 69, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, filed Feb. 26, 2010, 63 pgs.

BPAI USPTO, Eggleston's Opposition 3, Doc. 70, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, filed Feb. 26, 2010, 78 pgs.

(56)

References Cited**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

- BPAI USPTO, Eggleston's Opposition 4, Doc. 71, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, filed Feb. 26, 2010, 54 pgs.
- BPAI USPTO, Lazardis Opposition 1, Doc. 73, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, filed Feb. 26, 2010, 189 pgs.
- BPAI USPTO, Lazardis Opposition 2, Doc. 74, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, filed Feb. 26, 2010, 93 pgs.
- BPAI USPTO, Declaration of Brad Karp, Doc. 274, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, filed Jun. 7, 2010, 40 pgs.
- BPAI USPTO, Declaration of Dr. Gary S. Tjaden, Doc. 192, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, filed Jun. 7, 2010, 62 pgs.
- BPAI USPTO, First Declaration of John Friend, Doc. 170, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, filed Jun. 7, 2010, 175 pgs.
- BPAI USPTO, Fourth Declaration of John Friend, Doc. 323, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, filed Jun. 7, 2010, 60 pgs.
- BPAI USPTO, Second Declaration of Brad Karp, Doc. 306, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, filed Jun. 7, 2010, 20 pgs.
- BPAI USPTO, Substitute Declaration of Andrew M. Seybold, Doc. 196, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, filed Jun. 7, 2010, 6 pgs.
- BPAI USPTO, Substitute Second Declaration of John Friend, Doc. 209, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, filed Jun. 7, 2010, 13 pgs.
- BPAI USPTO, Decision—Motions—Bd. R. 125(b), Doc. 342, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, dated May 2, 2011, 21 pgs.
- BPAI USPTO, Judgment—Merits—Bd. R. 127, Doc. 343, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, dated May 2, 2011, 3 pgs.
- JPO, Notice of Final Rejection, Application No. 2008-130152, Jul. 9, 2010, 3 pgs.
- SIPO, Rejection Decision of the Application, Application No. 2008100742044, May 6, 2011, 10 pgs.
- United States District Court Southern District of New York, Opinion and Order of the Court, Document 71, *Mahmood v. Research in Motion Ltd.*, Case 1:11-cv-05345-KBF, Filed May 16, 2012, 20 Pgs.
- United States District Court Southern District of New York, Notice of Motion, Document 14, *Mahmood v. Research in Motion Ltd.*, Case 1:12-cv-00899-KBF, Filed Jun. 14, 2012, 2 Pgs.
- United States District Court Southern District of New York, Memorandum of Law of Defendant Research in Motion Limited in Support of Its Motion to Dismiss the Complaint, Document 15, *Mahmood v. Research in Motion Ltd.*, Case 1:12-cv-00899-KBF, Filed Jun. 14, 2012, 32 Pgs.
- United States District Court Southern District of New York, Declaration of Michael S. Feldberg, Document 16, *Mahmood v. Research in Motion Ltd.*, Case 1:12-cv-00899-KBF, Filed Jun. 14, 2012, 2 Pgs.
- United States District Court Southern District of New York, Plaintiff Tahir Mahmood's Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant Research in Motion Limited's Motion to Dismiss the Complaint, Document 18, *Mahmood v. Research in Motion Ltd.*, Case 1:12-cv-00899-KBF, Filed Jun. 28, 2012, 32 Pgs.
- United States District Court Southern District of New York, Reply Memorandum of Law of Defendant Research in Motion Limited in Further Support of Its Motion to Dismiss, Document 19, *Mahmood v. Research in Motion Ltd.*, Case 1:12-cv-00899-KBF, Filed Jul. 9, 2012, 15 Pgs.
- United States District Court Southern District of New York, Opinion and Order, Document 22, *Mahmood v. Research in Motion Ltd.*, Case 1:12-cv-00899-KBF, Filed Oct. 25, 2012, 15 Pgs.
- USPTO, Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 13/201,362, Apr. 19, 2012, 13 pgs.
- USPTO, Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 13/359,586, Jun. 20, 2012, 21 pgs.
- JPO, Office Action, Application No. 2011-255370, Nov. 22, 2012, 4 pgs.
- SIPO, Details of Reexamination Notification, Application No. 200810074204, Mar. 7, 2013, 6 pgs.
- SIPO, 3rd Office Action, Application No. 200810074204.4, Apr. 9, 2014, 3 pgs.
- USPTO, Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 13/359,586, Jun. 13, 2013, 10 pgs.
- SIPO, Notification of Completion of Formalities for Registration, Application No. 200810074204.4, Jul. 29, 2014, 2 pgs.
- SIPO, Notification of Grant of Rights for Invention Patent, Application No. 200810074204.4, Jul. 29, 2014, 2 pgs.
- "3Com PalmPilot Gets Wireless Link for E-Mail" Spooner John G. PC Week Dec. 8, 1997.
- "Have Your Pager Call My Pager", Sullivan Kristina B., PC Week, Dec. 8, 1997.
- "Motorola's 'Marco' Wireless Communicator," <http://www.msu.edu/~luckie/gallery/marco.htm>, Aug. 6, 2001 (2 pgs.).
- "Motorola's 'Marco' Wireless Communicator," <http://www.msu.edu/~luckie/gallery/marco.htm>, Jun. 14, 2001, 3 pages.
- "SAM™ integrates E-mail, Alpha Paging, Fax, and more!", SAM System for Automated Messages (10 pgs.).
- "Vendor-Independent Messaging Interface Functional Specification"; Version 1.00; Copyright © 1991, 1992 by Apple Computer, Inc., Borland International, Inc., Lotus Development Corporation, and Novell, Inc.; 183 pages.
- "Marco" Wireless Communicator," <http://www.fmsu.edu/~luckie/oallerv/marco.htm>, Jun. 14, 2001 (3 DOS.).
- AirMobile (Software for Lotus cc: Mail Wireless, Communication Server Guide, V.1.1., Motorola, 1995, 24 pgs.
- AirMobile, Software for Lotus cc: Mail Wireless, Communication Client Guide, V.1.0., Motorola, 1995, 47 pgs.
- Aldrich et al. MAPI Developers Forum post "Notification when posting a message to Exchange"; May 1997.
- Alikoski et al.; Google Groups post "IMAPIAdviseSink object?"; Jan. 1996.
- Allman, Eric, Sendmail—An Internetwork Mail Router, Jul. 29, 1991, 13 pgs.
- Amundsen, Michael C., MAPI, SAPI, and TAPI Developer's Guide, 1996, pp. 26-28, Sams Publishing, USA.
- Arnum, Eric, "the universal mailbox arrives . . . soft of", Business Communications Review, May 1996, v26, n5.
- Comerford, "Handhelds Duke It Out for the Internet," Wireless Internet, s. 35-38 and 41, Aug. 2000.
- Behr "Handheld Solutions", Informationweek, Manhasset; Oct. 27, 1997 pp. 106-113.
- Bell South, 'Simon Says "Here's How!", Simon Mobile Communications Made Simple Users Manual', IBM, 1st Ed., Feb. 1994, 49 pgs.
- Binder et al., The ALOHAnet Menhune, v2, ALOHA System Technical Report, Sep. 1974, 112 pgs., USA.
- Bixby, Mark, Announce: Aliaserv, v1.0, Self-serve E-mail Aliases, Google Groups Discussion: comp.mail.misc, Mar. 24, 1994, 3 pgs., http://groups.google.com/group/comp.mail.misc/browse_thread/thread/d391285c06284025/432b1f7646747431.
- Black, Lauren, et al., "Personal Digital Assistants," Macworld Reviews, Aug. 6, 2001 (5 pgs.)
- BlackBerry Technical White Paper, Research in Motion Ltd., Version 1.0, 1998-1999.
- Taylor et al. "Internetwork Mobility The CDPD Approach", Jun. 11, 1996.
- BPAI USPTO, Third Declaration of John Friend, Doc. 286, *Lazaridis v. Eggleston*, Interference No. 105,700, filed Jun. 7, 2010, 62 pgs.
- Briere, Daniel et al., "One Mailbox, Just Like Old Times", Network World, vol. 14, issue 16, p. 21 (Apr. 21, 1997).
- Burch et al., Motorola Envoy Press Clippings, Google Groups Discussion: comp.sys.pen, Mar. 7-17, 1994, 10 pgs., http://groups.google.com/group/comp.sys.pen/browse_thread/thread/21e7f8890df6d647/a09454f4a3673101.
- Canadian Federal Court, Pinpoint Citations in Satisfaction of the Order of Madam Prothonotary Tabib Dated Dec. 19, 2006, *Research in Motion Ltd. v. Visto Corporation*, Case No. T-1105-06, filed Jan. 31, 2007, 31 pgs.
- Canadian Federal Court, Statement of Defence and Counterclaim by Defendant Visto Corporation, *Research in Motion Ltd. v. Visto Corporation*, Case No. T-1105-06, filed Nov. 15, 2006, 31 pgs.
- Carthy et al.; MAPI Developers Forum post "MAPI Notification"; Apr. 12, 1996.

(56)

References Cited**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

- Cheshire, Stuart, et al., "Internet Mobility 4 X 4," Computer Science Department, Stanford University; pp. 1-12, Aug. 1996.
- Clark et al., PCMAIL: A Distributed Mail System for Personal Computers, RFC 984, May 1986, 30 pgs., Internet Engineering Task Force, Network Working Group.
- Claxton, "Messaging API's for Voice Networks," Telecommunications, pp. 116-120, 1998.
- Cohen, Stephane, MAPI Notification, MAPI Developers Forum Post, Apr. 17, 1996, 2 pgs.
- Costales et al., "Help for UNIX System Administrators—Sendmail," O'Reilly & Associates, Inc., Sep. 1994, 877 pgs.
- Compaq, Aero 2100 Series Color Palm-size PC Reference Guide, Aug. 1999, 193 pgs, 2d ed., Compaq Computer Corporation, USA.
- DTS Wireless Website located at D.R.L. <http://www.dtswireless.com>.
- Crocker, David H., "Standard for the format of ARPA Internet Text Messages," Dept. of Electrical Engineering, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, Aug. 13, 1982, 50 pgs.
- Dawson et al., iCalendar Message-Based Interoperability Protocol (iMIP), RFC 2447, Nov. 1998, 4 pgs., Internet Engineering Task Force, Network Working Group.
- Declaration of David A. Keeney regarding SAM System, pp. 1-33 (Sep. 3, 2002).
- Derose, James F., The Wireless Data Handbook, 1994, pp. 280-85, Quantum Publishing, Inc., USA.
- Dewey, Barney, "Communications Strategies for Newton 2.0," Newton Technology Journal, 1 page, Jun. 1996.
- Diehl et al., Need to Rewrite from Field on Outgoing Mail, Google Groups Discussion: comp.mail.sendmail, May 23-24, 1996, 2 pgs.
- Dilworth et al., Wireless as an Internet On-Ramp & Local Loop By-Pass, COOK Report on Internet Protocol, Technology, Economics, Policy, accessed Dec. 12, 2006, 45 pgs.
- Dunker et al, Mobile Vision User Manual, CE Software, Inc., 1st Ed., 1995, 49 pgs.
- Dunker, "CE Software Announces MobileVision," CE Software, Inc., Jan. 4, 1995, 2 pgs.
- Hakima, Enterprise Solutions for Email Overload, Founder Publications, <http://www.amikanow.com/corporte/publications.htm>, Aug. 6, 2001, 9 pgs.
- EP Search Report, Application No. 01 112 396.5, European Patent Office, Sep. 28, 2001, 6 pgs.
- EPO Opposition Division, Decision Rejecting the Opposition (Art. 101 (2) EPC), *Research in Motion Limited v. Visto Corporation*, Opposition re EP 1096727, May 14, 2009, 10 pgs.
- EPO Opposition Division, Opposition Letter by Visto Corporation, *Research in Motion Limited v. Visto Corporation*, Opposition re EP 1096727, Jan. 12, 2009, 3 pgs.
- EPO Opposition Division, Opposition to EP 1096727 of *Research in Motion UK Limited* by Visto Corporation, *Research in Motion Limited v. Visto Corporation*, Opposition re EP 1096727, Dec. 21, 2006, 39 pgs.
- EPO Opposition Division, Reply to Opposition, *Research in Motion Limited v. Visto Corporation*, Opposition re EP 1096727, Aug. 8, 2007, 32 pgs. D.
- European High Court of Justice, Chancery Division, Patents Court, Statement of Defence and Counterclaim, Particulars of Infringement, and Grounds of Invalidity, *Research in Motion UK Limited v. Visto Corporation*, Case No. HC-06-004227, filed Feb. 2, 2007, 77 pgs.
- European High Court of Justice, Chancery Division, Patents Court, Witness Statement of Jeanie Treichel, *Research in Motion UK Limited v. Visto Corporation*, Case No. HC-06-004227, dated Dec. 29, 2007, 4 pgs.
- Feibus "A Desktop in Your Palm", Information week, Aug. 25, 1997, pp. 65ff.
- Frezza, Bill, "PDA, PDA, Wherefore Art Thou, PDA?", Freewire, Aug. 6, 2001 (6 pgs.).
- Funk, Andrew, A Personal Packet Radio Mailbox Using Roserver: Automated Packet Radio for Individuals, 8th ARRL Amateur Radio Computer Networking Conference, Oct. 7, 1989, 18 pgs., USA.
- Gadol et al., Nomadic Tenets: A User's Perspective, SMLI Technical Report, Jun. 1994, 16 pgs., Sun Microsystems Laboratories, Inc., USA.
- Garbee, Bdale, New Release of KA9Q Internet Package, Sep. 12, 1987, 5 pgs.
- Gifford, David K., et al., "An Architecture for Large Scale Information Systems," ACM, pp. 161-170 (1985).
- Gifford, David K., et al., "The Application of Digital Broadcast Communication to Large Scale Information Systems," IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, vol. SAC-3, No. 3, pp. 457-467 (May 1985).
- Gerber, Barry, Mastering Microsoft Exchange Server 5, 2d, 1997, pp. 16-22, 48-67, 541-44, Sybex, Inc., USA.
- General Magic, Inc., Corporate Backgrounder, 2001 (2 pgs.), http://www.generalmagic.com/company/company_history.shtml, Jun. 2001.
- Goldszmidt et al., ShockAbsorber. A TCP Connection Router, IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference Record, Nov. 3-8, 1997, pp. 1919-1923, vol. 3, USA.
- IBM, Portable Terminal User's Guide, Jun. 1985, 277 pgs., 3d ed.
- Iellimo, Albert, PDAs the Next Generation, Network World Fusion—Press Room, Mar. 13, 1995, 8 pgs.
- Inouye, Jon et al., "System Support for Mobile Multimedia Applications," Proceedings of the IEEE 7th International Workshop on Network And Operating System Support For Digital Audio And Video, May 19-21, 1997, pp. 135-146.
- Johnson, D.: Scalable Support for Transparent Mobile Host Internetworking, Wireless Networks, The Journal of Mobile Communication, Computation and Information, vol. 1, No. 3, Oct. 1995, pp. 311-321.
- Johnson, David B., "Scalable and Robust Internetwork Routine for Mobile Hosts," 14th International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems, Poznan Poland, Jun. 21-24, IEEE, 1994, 12 pgs.
- Johnson, David B., "Ubiquitous Mobile Host Internet working," Fourth Workshop on Workstation Operating Systems, pp. 85-90, Oct. 14-15, 1993.
- Johnson, David B., "Mobile Host Internetworking Using IP Loose Source Routing," School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, pp. 1-14, Feb. 1993.
- Knowles, Brad, Frequently Asked Questions, Google Groups Discussion: comp.mail.sendmail, Mar. 24, 1997, 47 pgs.
- Kramer, Matt, Wireless E-mail services gain Windows clients, PC Week, Apr. 17, 1995, v12, n15.
- Krebs, Jay, Portable Computer and Host Talk Over Radio-Frequency Link, Electronic, pp. 142-145 (Aug. 25, 1983).
- Kuehn, Carl, SAM: More than Email, Southwest Computer & Business Equipment, Feb. 1990, 2 pgs., vol. VII, No. 2, USA.
- Lavana, Hemang, et al., Internet-Based Workflows: A Paradigm for Dynamically Reconfigurable Desktop Environments, Group 97, pp. 204-213, 1997.
- Levitt, Jason, Moving Mail with No Strings Attached: Users Love Wireless Electronicmail's Convenience, Jan. 24, 1994, 4 pgs., Information Access Company, USA.
- Lightford, "Secure Comm for Windows and Secure Link for Windows for CC: Mail Mobile," Sep. 16, 1994, Business Wire Sec. 1, pp. 3.
- Long, Geoff, The PAN Mongolia Experience, Dec. 6, 1996, 3 pgs., International Development Research Centre, Canada.
- Lucent Technologies, Bell Labs Technical Journal, vol. 2, No. 3, pp. 152-163, Summer 1997.
- Moody's Investors Service, Socket Communications Inc.—History & Debt, Investex Report No. 3240276.
- Mosher, Microsoft Exchange User's Handbook, Duke Press, 1997, pp. 547-549.
- Motorola Operating Instructions for Your "PMR 2000" Series Display Radio Pagers Personal Message Center (2000) (7 pages).
- Motorola PMR 2000 Personal Message Receiver POCSAG (CCIR Radio Paging Code #1) (1986) (6 pages).
- Motorola, Motorola Announces New Solutions to Provide Consumers with Wireless Access to Personal and Enterprise E-mail Accounts, Pressi.com, Mar. 21, 2001, 4 pgs. D.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Motorola, Operating Instructions for Your "PMR 2000" Series Display Radio Pagers Personal Message Center, 1987, 7 pgs., Motorola, USA.
- Motorola, Inc., emailVClient, "What is the emailVClient plug-in?" 2001 (4 pages).
- Nelson, M.: "Wireless Data Services: Here and Now," PDA Developers 2.6, Nov./Dec. 1994, 3 pages.
- Newsletter, Battery Friendly Bulletin, vol. 1, Issue 3, pp. 1-7 and unnumbered page, 1999.
- Dunker, News Release, "CE Software Announces MobileVision," Editorial Contacts, CE Software, Inc., 1995, 3 pages.
- Dunker & Lee, "CE Software Ships Mobile Vision," Jun. 20, 1995 3 pages (New Release).
- Motorola—"Motorola Announces Pagemaster 250, The World's Smallest Pager with Full Keyboard", Feb. 27, 1997 (2 pgs.).
- "Motorola Rings in 1995 with the Launch of the Marco® Wireless Communicator," Jan. 4, 1995 (4 pgs.) News Release.
- E-Mail Merges With Voice Through Infinite Technologies, Voice Technology & Services News, May 26, 1998, Newsletter.
- Vodapage: Vodapage demos increasing convergence of pagers and mobile communications at TMA 29, M2 Presswire, Nov. 28, 1996, Newsletter.
- O'Brien, Jim, Evaluation: Ericsson GE's Co.'s Viking Express Includes Cellular Modern, Palmtop Computer, Communications Software, Electronic Mail Service, Hardware Review Online, Dec. 1992, 4 pgs., ZDNet.
- O'Malley, Chris, Simonizing the PDA, BYTE.com, Dec. 1994, 9 pgs., CMP Media LLC, USA.
- Newton Reference, Communications, Software, Clay Irving, NY 1996-1997(4 pgs.); http://www.panix.com/~clay/newton/query.cgi?communications+comm_software; retrieved Jul. 9, 2001.
- Padwick, et al., "Special Edition Using Microsoft Outlook 97," 1997, Que Corporation, pp. 250-251, 353-367.
- Lynch, Paul—PC Pro Issue 31: Realworld Computing: PDA Column, Jul. 30, 1997 (7 pgs.)
- Pegasus Email Settings, ABSnet Internet Services, Inc. 4 pgs; <http://www.abs.net/tech-support/email/Pegasus.htm>.
- Perkins, C.: "RFC 2002—IP Mobility Support," IBM, Oct. 1996, 61 pages.
- Perkins, Charles, "IMHP: A Mobile Host Protocol for the Internet," Computer Networks and ISDN System, vol. 27, pp. 479-491, 1994.
- Perkins, Charles E. et al., "Mobility Support in IPv6," International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking, Proceedings of the Second Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking, Nov. 11-12, 1996, pp. 27-37.
- Press Release, "Apple Agrees to License Newton Technology to Schlumberger, Digital Ocean," Nov. 3, 1995 (3 pgs.)
- Press Detail, "Extended Systems and Motorola Bring Short-Range Wireless to the Paging E-volution," Jan. 13, 2000 (3 pgs.)
- Press Detail, "3Com Corporation Licenses Bluetooth Technology from Extended Systems," Feb. 22, 2000 (2 pgs.)
- RadioMail Ships Wireless E-mail Software: Product Announcement: Brief Article, LAN Magazine, No. 4, vol. 10, Apr. 1, 1995, p. 162, Information Access Company, USA.
- Redmond, Tony, Microsoft Exchange Server V5.0: Planning, Design, and Implementation, 1997, pp. 70-77, Digital Press, USA.
- Reich, Richard, UnixWorld; Sendmail V8: A (Smoother) Engine Powers Network Email, accessed Nov. 12, 2006, <http://www.networkcomputing.com/shared/prinArticle.jhtml?article+/unixworld/tutor...> 16 pgs.
- Reiter, Alan A., "Focus on Technology," Telocator (Jan. 1990) (4 pgs.)
- RIM, Information About BlackBerry Wireless Email to Plan for Disaster Recovery, BlackBerry Technical Advisory No. STAE-0002, Aug. 8, 2000, 4 pgs., Research In Motion Limited.
- RIM, Technical White Paper: BlackBerry Exchange Edition Version 2.0: RIM 950 & 957 Wireless Handheld, 2000, 16 pgs., Research In Motion Limited. D.
- Roubelat, Jean Paul, Server and BBS Software for the Packet Radio, Feb. 6, 1993, 173 pgs.
- SAM Reference Manual, System for Automated Messages (Sep. 1989), 182 pages.
- SAM System for Automated Messages User's Manual (1988) (17 pgs.).
- SAMpage Manual, version 2.0, TeckNow! (Feb. 1990) 49 pages.
- Sbihi, Scott, The Envoy 150: Wireless from the Start, PDA Developers 4.6, Nov.-Dec. 1996.
- Schoettle, Bob, "IP-Address Management on LANs," Byte, pos. 199-200, Feb. 1996.
- Seybold, Andrew M., Using Wireless Communications in Business, 1994, 74 pgs, Van Nostrand-Reinh, Thomson Publishing Company, International. D.
- Siewiorek et al., Networks, Computer Structures: Principles and Examples, pp. 387-428, 1982, McGraw-Hill, Inc., USA.
- Bell South, Simon Says "Here's How!", Simon Mobile Communications Made Simple, Users Manual, Feb. 1994, 50 pgs., IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA.
- Strom, David, Mobile Computing Comes of Age, Network World, 1995, 4 pgs.
- Takahashi et al., "Communication Method With Data Compression and Encryption for Mobile Computing Environment," Inet 96 Proceedings, 1996, from www.isoc.org/inet96/proceedings/a6/a6_2.htm, pp. 11.
- Tanenbaum, Andrew, Network Layer: Mobile IP, Computer Networks, 1996, Section 5.5.8, 3rd ed., Prentice-Hall PTR, USA.
- TekNow! Inc., "SAM—System for Automated Messages," SAM Reference Manual, Sep. 1989 Ed., 99 pgs.
- United States District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division: *Good Technology, Inc. v. Research in Motion, Ltd., et al.*, Case No. C02-2348 MJJ, Plaintiff Good Technology, Inc.'s Preliminary Invalidity Contentions Pursuant to Patent LR. 3-3 and Response Under Patent LR. 3-4, Jan. 16, 2004, 42 pages.
- United States District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division: *Good Technology, Inc. v. Research in Motion, Ltd., et al.*, Case No. 3:02-2348 MJJ, Plaintiff Good Technology, Inc.'s 93 pages pulled Oct. 9, 2008.
- US District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Excerpts of Research in Motion Limited's and Research in Motion Corporation's Third Amended Answer, Defenses and Counterclaims, *Visto Corporation v. Research in Motion Limited*, Case No. 2:06-cv-00181 •TJWCE, filed Jun. 25, 2008, 6 pgs.
- US District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Research in Motion's Claim Construction Brief Regarding the RIM Patents, *Visto Corporation v. Research in Motion Limited*, Case No. 2:06-cv-00181-TJW-CE, filed Sep. 17, 2007, 32 pgs.
- US District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Declaration of David A. Keeney, *NTP, Inc. v. Research in Motion, Ltd.*, Case No. 3:01-cv-00767, filed Sep. 3, 2002, 35 pgs.
- USPTO, Order Denying Request for Ex Parte Reexamination [of U.S. Pat. No. 6,219,694], U.S. Appl. No. 90/010,285, Dec. 16, 2008, 13 pgs.
- USPTO, Order Denying Request for Ex Parte Reexamination [of U.S. Pat. No. 6,389,457], U.S. Appl. No. 90/010,286, Dec. 16, 2008, 12 pgs.
- USPTO, Replacement Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 6,389,457, U.S. Appl. No. 90/010,286, Sep. 25, 2008, 35 pgs.
- USPTO, Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 6,219,694, U.S. Appl. No. 90/010,285, Sep. 18, 2008, 74 pgs.
- Visto Corporation Launch Tour Presentation, Oct. 1997, 18 pgs., USA.
- Wada et al., Mobile Computing Environment Based on Internet Packet Forwarding, USENIX, Jan. 25-29, 1993, pp. 503-517, USA.
- Hawaleshka, "The Web in Your Pocket," Maclean's, May 15, 2000, 3 pages; [wysiwyg://12/http://proquest.umi.com/pq.Fmt+4&Deli_1&Mtd=1&Idx=13&Sid=3&RQT=30-9](http://www.wysiwyg.com/12/http://proquest.umi.com/pq.Fmt+4&Deli_1&Mtd=1&Idx=13&Sid=3&RQT=30-9), retrieved Oct. 4, 2001.
- Wireless Packet Network Helps Red Cross Keep Communicating: IBM's 9075 Pcradio, Communications News, Apr. 1993, 3 pgs., Nelson Publishing.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Woods et al., Alias Updates, Google Groups Discussion: comp.mail.sendmail, Apr. 24-27, 1990, 4 pgs., Sendmail, Inc., USA, http://groups.google.com/group/comp.mail.sendmail/browse_thread/thread/2a29648e0774c686/5213a85ce6d69c45.

WyndMail for Windows CE Archived Information, Feb. 9, 1997, 8 pgs., WyndCommunications, USA, available at <http://web.archive.org/web/19970209082529/www.wynd.com/ce/cefaq.html>.

“Yeom, Hoen Y., et al., “IP Multiplexing by Transparent Port-Address Translator,”” Proceedings of the Tenth USENIX System Administration Conference, pp. 113-122, Sep. 29-Oct. 4, 1996”.

* cited by examiner




Fig. 1





Fig. 2

*Fig. 3*




Fig. 4




Fig. 5

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR USING TRIGGER EVENTS AND A REDIRECTOR FLAG TO REDIRECT MESSAGES

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application is a divisional application of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/087,623, filed on May 29, 1998.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed toward the field of replicating information from a host system where the information is normally stored to a mobile data communication device. In particular, the system and method of the present invention provide an event-driven redirection computer program ("redirector program") operating at the host system, which, upon sensing a particular user-defined event has occurred, redirects user-selected data items from the host system to the user's mobile data communication device. The mobile data communication device is preferably coupled to the host system via a wireless network and one or more landline networks. Due to the bandwidth limitations of wireless networks, only a portion of a user-selected data item is generally redirected to the user's mobile device, with the user given the option of then retrieving the entire data item (or some other portion of the data item) from the host system.

Instead of warehousing (or storing) the user's data items at the host system and then "synchronizing" the mobile data communication device to data items stored at the host system when the mobile device requests that such items of information be communicated to it, the present invention employs a "push" paradigm that continuously packages and retransmits the user-selected items of information to the mobile data communication device in response to a triggering event detected at the host system. Wireless mobile data communications devices, especially those that can return a confirmation signal to the host that the pushed data has been received are especially well suited for this type of push paradigm.

Present systems and methods for replicating information from a host system to a user's mobile data communication device are typically "synchronization" systems in which the user's data items are warehoused (or stored) at the host system for an indefinite period of time and then transmitted in bulk only in response to a user request. In these types of systems and methods, when replication of the warehoused data items to the mobile device is desired, the user typically places the mobile device in an interface cradle that is electrically connected to the host system via some form of local, dedicated communication, such as a serial cable or an infrared or other type of wireless link. Software executing on the mobile data communication device then transmits commands via the local communications link to the host system to cause the host to begin transmitting the user's data items for storage in a memory bank of the mobile device. In these synchronization schemes, the mobile unit "pulls" the warehoused information from the host system in a batch each time the user desires to replicate information between the two devices. Therefore, the two systems (host and mobile) only maintain the same data items after a user-initiated command sequence that causes the mobile device to download the data items from the host system. A general problem with these synchronization systems is that the only time that the user data items are replicated between the host system and the mobile data communication device is when the user commands the mobile device to download or pull the user data from the host system.

Five minutes later a new message could be sent to the user, but the user would not receive that message until the next time the user fetches the user data items. Thus, a user may fail to respond to an emergency update or message because the user only periodically synchronizes the system, such as once per day. Other problems with these systems include: (1) the amount of data to be reconciled between the host and the mobile device can become large if the user does not "synchronize" on a daily or hourly basis, leading to bandwidth difficulties, particularly when the mobile device is communicating via a wireless packet-switched network; and (2) reconciling large amounts of data, as can accrue in these batch-mode synchronization systems, can require a great deal of communication between the host and the mobile device, thus leading to a more complex, costly and energy-inefficient system. A more automated, continuous, efficient and reliable system of ensuring that user data items are replicated at the user's mobile device is therefore needed.

An additional feature of the present invention is that the push paradigm, in combination with a return communications pathway, lends itself well to a system that permits a user to control remotely, through the user's mobile device, a number of aspects of the host system.

There remains a general need in this art for a system and method of continuously pushing user-selected data items (or certain portions of the selected data items) stored at a host system to a user's mobile data communication device.

There remains a more particular need for such a system and method where user-selected data items are continuously "pushed" from the host system to the mobile data communication device upon the occurrence of one or more user-defined triggering events.

There remains an additional need for such a system and method that provides flexibility in the types and quantities of user data items that are pushed from the host system to the mobile data communication device and that also provides flexibility in the configuration and types of events that can serve to trigger the redirection of the user data items.

There remains yet an additional need for such a system and method that can operate locally on a user's desktop PC or at a distance via a network server.

There remains still another need for such a system and method that provides for secure, transparent delivery of the user-selected data items from the host system to the mobile device.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention overcomes the problems noted above and satisfies the needs in this field for a system and method of pushing user-selected data items from a host system to a user's mobile data communication device upon detecting the occurrence of one or more user-defined event triggers. As used in this application, the term host system refers to the computer where the redirector software is operating. In the preferred embodiment of the present invention, the host system is a user's desktop PC, although, alternatively, the host system could be a network server connected to the user's PC via a local-area network ("LAN"), or could be any other system that is in communication with the user's desktop PC.

A redirector program operating at the host system enables the user to redirect or mirror certain user-selected data items (or parts of data items) from the host system to the user's mobile data communication device upon detecting that one or more user-defined triggering events has occurred. Also operating at the host system are various sub-systems that can be

configured to create triggering events, such as a screen saver sub-system or a keyboard sub-system, as well as sub-systems for repackaging the user's data items for transparent delivery to the mobile data device, such as a TCP/IP sub-system or one or more E-Mail sub-systems. Other sub-systems for creating triggering events and repackaging the user's data items could also be present at the host system. The host system also includes a primary memory store where the user's data items are normally stored.

Using the redirector program, the user can select certain data items for redirection, such as E-mail messages, calendar events, meeting notifications, address entries, journal entries, personal reminders etc. Having selected the data items for redirection, the user can then configure one or more event triggers to be sensed by the redirector program to initiate redirection of the user data items. These user-defined trigger points (or event triggers) include external events, internal events and networked events. Examples of external events include: receiving a message from the user's mobile data communication device to begin redirection; receiving a similar message from some external computer; sensing that the user is no longer in the vicinity of the host system; or any other event that is external to the host system. Internal events could be a calendar alarm, screen saver activation, keyboard timeout, programmable timer, or any other user-defined event that is internal to the host system. Networked events are user-defined messages that are transmitted to the host system from another computer coupled to the host system via a network to initiate redirection. These are just some of the examples of the types of user-defined events that can trigger the redirector program to push data items from the host to the mobile device. Although in the preferred embodiment it is anticipated that the configuration that specifies which data items will be redirected and in what form will be set at the host system, it is within the scope of this invention that such configuration may be set or modified through data sent from the mobile communications device.

In addition to the functionality noted above, the redirector program provides a set of software-implemented control functions for determining the type of mobile data communication device and its address, for programming a preferred list of message types that are to be redirected, and for determining whether the mobile device can receive and process certain types of message attachments, such as word processor or voice attachments. The determination of whether a particular mobile device can receive and process attachments is initially configured by the user of that mobile device at the host system. This configuration can be altered on a global or per message basis by transmitting a command message from the mobile device to the host system. If the redirector is configured so that the mobile data device cannot receive and process word processor or voice attachments, then the redirector routes these attachments to an external machine that is compatible with the particular attachment, such as an attached printer or networked fax machine or telephone. Other types of attachments could be redirected to other types of external machines in a similar fashion, depending upon the capabilities of the mobile device. For example, if a user is traveling and receives a message with an attachment that the user's mobile device can process or display, the user may from a mobile communications device send a command message to the host system indicating that that attachment is to be sent to a fax machine at a hotel where the user will be spending the evening. This enables the user to receive important E-mail attachments as long as the host system is provided with sufficient information about the destination where the attachment is to be forwarded.

Once an event has triggered redirection of the user data items, the host system then repackages these items in a manner that is transparent to the mobile data communication device, so that information on the mobile device appears similar to information on the user's host system. The preferred repackaging method includes wrapping the user data items in an E-mail envelope that corresponds to the address of the mobile data communication device, although, alternatively, other repackaging methods could be used with the present invention, such as special-purpose TCP/IP wrapping techniques, or other methods of wrapping the user selected data items. The repackaging preferably results in E-mail messages generated by the user from the mobile device to be transmitted from the host system, thus enabling the user to appear to have a single E-mail address, such that the recipients of messages sent from the mobile communications device do not know where the user was physically located when the message was first sent. The repackaging also permits both messages to the mobile device and sent from the mobile device to be encrypted and decrypted as well as compressed and decompressed.

In an alternative system and method, the redirector program executes on a network server, and the server is programmed to detect numerous redirection event triggers over the network from multiple user desktop computers coupled to the server via a LAN. The server can receive internal event triggers from each of the user desktops via the network, and can also receive external event triggers, such as messages from the users' mobile data communication devices. In response to receiving one of these triggers, the server redirects the user's data items to the proper mobile data communication device. The user data items and addressing information for a particular mobile device can be stored at the server or at the user's PC. Using this alternative configuration, one redirector program can serve a plurality of users. This alternative configuration could also include an internet- or intranet-based redirector program that could be accessible through a secure webpage or other user interface. The redirector program could be located on an Internet Service Provider's system and accessible only through the Internet.

In another alternative configuration of the present invention, a redirector program operates at both the host system and at the user's mobile data communication device. In this configuration, the user's mobile device operates similarly to the host system described below, and is configured in a similar fashion to push certain user-selected data items from the mobile device to the user's host system (or some other computer) upon detecting an event trigger at the mobile device. This configuration provides two-way pushing of information from the host to the mobile device and from the mobile device to the host.

The primary advantage of the present invention is that it provides a system and method for triggering the continuous and real-time redirection of user-selected data items from a host system to a mobile data communication device. Other advantages of the present invention include: (1) flexibility in defining the types of user data to redirect, and in defining a preferred list of message types that are to be redirected or preferred senders whose messages are to be redirected; (2) flexibility in configuring the system to respond to numerous internal, external and networked triggering events; (3) transparent repackaging of the user data items in a variety of ways such that the mobile data communication device appears as though it were the host system; (4) integration with other host system components such as E-mail, TCP/IP, keyboard, screen saver, webpages and certain programs that can either create user data items or be configured to provide trigger points; and

5

(5) the ability to operate locally on a user's desktop system or at a distance via a network server.

These are just a few of the many advantages of the present invention, as described in more detail below. As will be appreciated, the invention is capable of other and different embodiments, and its several details are capable of modifications in various respects, all without departing from the spirit of the invention. Accordingly, the drawings and description of the preferred embodiments set forth below are to be regarded as illustrative in nature and not restrictive.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The present invention satisfies the needs noted above as will become apparent from the following description when read in conjunction with the accompanying drawings wherein:

FIG. 1 is a system diagram showing the redirection of user data items from a user's desktop PC (host system) to the user's mobile data communication device, where the redirector software is operating at the user's desktop PC.

FIG. 2 is a system diagram showing the redirection of user data items from a network server (host system) to the user's mobile data communication device, where the redirector software is operating at the server.

FIG. 3 is a block diagram showing the interaction of the redirector software with other components of the host system in FIG. 1 (the user's desktop PC) to enable the pushing of information from the host system to the user's mobile data communication device.

FIG. 4 is a flow chart showing the steps carried out by the redirector software operating at the host system.

FIG. 5 is a flow chart showing the steps carried out by the mobile data communication device to interface with the redirector software operating at the host system.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Referring now to the drawings, FIG. 1 is an example system diagram showing the redirection of user data items (such as message A or C) from a user's office PC (host system) 10 to the user's mobile data communication device 24, where the redirector software 12 is operating at the user's PC. Message A in FIG. 1 represents an internal message sent from desktop 26 to the user's host system 10 via LAN 14. Message C in FIG. 1 represents an external message from a sender that is not directly connected to LAN 14, such as the user's mobile data communication device 24, some other user's mobile device (not shown), or any user connected to the Internet 18. Message C also represents a command message from the user's mobile data communication device 24 to the host system 10. As described in more detail in FIG. 3, the host system 10 preferably includes, along with the typical hardware and software associated with a workstation or desktop computer, the redirector program 12, a TCP/IP subsystem 42, a primary message store 40, an E-mail subsystem 44, a screen saver subsystem 48, and a keyboard subsystem 46.

In FIG. 1, the host system 10 is the user's desktop system, typically located in the user's office. The host system 10 is connected to a LAN 14, which also connects to other computers 26, 28 that may be in the user's office or elsewhere. The LAN 14, in turn, is connected to a wide area network ("WAN") 18, preferably the Internet, which is defined by the use of the Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol ("TCP/IP") to exchange information, but which, alternatively could be any other type of WAN. The connection of the LAN 14 to the WAN 18 is via high bandwidth link 16, typically a T1

6

or T3 connection. The WAN 18 in turn is connected to a variety of gateways 20, via connections 32. A gateway forms a connection or bridge between the WAN 18 and some other type of network, such as an RF wireless network, cellular network, satellite network, or other synchronous or asynchronous land-line connection.

In the example of FIG. 1, a wireless gateway 20 is connected to the Internet for communicating via wireless link 22 to a plurality of wireless mobile data communication devices 24. Also shown in FIG. 1 is machine 30, which could be a FAX machine, a printer, a system for displaying images (such as video) or a machine capable of processing and playing audio files, such as a voice mail system. The present invention includes the ability to redirect certain message attachments to such, an external machine 30 if the redirector program configuration data reflects that the mobile device 24 cannot receive and process the attachments, or if the user has specified that certain attachments are not to be forwarded to mobile device 24, even if such device can process those attachments. By way of example, consider an E-mail sent to a user that includes three attachments—a word processing document, a video clip and an audio clip. The redirection program could be configured to send the text of the E-mail to the remote device, to send the word processing document to a networked printer located near the user, to send the video clip to a store accessible through a secure connection through the internet and to send the audio clip to the user's voice mail system. This example is not intended to limit the breadth and scope of the invention, but rather to illustrate the variety of possibilities embodied in the redirection concept.

The preferred mobile data communication device 24 is a hand-held two-way wireless paging computer, a wirelessly enabled palm-top computer, a mobile telephone with data messaging capabilities, or a wirelessly enabled laptop computer, but could, alternatively be other types of mobile data communication devices capable of sending and receiving messages via a network connection 22. Although it is preferable for the system to operate in a two-way communications mode, certain aspects of the invention could be beneficially used in a "one and one-half" or acknowledgment paging environment, or even with a one-way paging system. The mobile data communication device 24 includes software program instructions that work in conjunction with the redirector program 12 to enable the seamless, transparent redirection of user-selected data items. FIG. 4 describes the basic method steps of the redirector program 12, and FIG. 5 describes the steps of the corresponding program operating at the mobile device 24.

In an alternative embodiment of the present invention, not explicitly shown in the drawings, the mobile device 24 also includes a redirector program. In this embodiment, user selected data items can be replicated from the host to the mobile device and vice versa. The configuration and operation of the mobile device 24 having a redirector program is similar to that described herein with respect to FIGS. 1-4.

A user of the present invention can configure the redirector program 12 to push certain user-selected data items to the user's mobile data communication device 24 when the redirector 12 detects that a particular user-defined event trigger (or trigger point) has taken place. User-selected data items preferably include E-mail messages, calendar events, meeting notifications, address entries, journal entries, personal alerts, alarms, warnings, stock quotes, news bulletins, etc., but could, alternatively, include any other type of message that is transmitted to the host system 10, or that the host system 10 acquires through the use of intelligent agents, such as data that is received after the host system 10 initiates a

search of a database or a website or a bulletin board. In some instances, only a portion of the data item is transmitted to the mobile device 24 in order to minimize the amount of data transmitted via the wireless network 22. In these instances, the mobile device 24 can optionally send a command message to the host system to receive more or all of the data item if the user desires to receive it.

Among the user-defined event triggers that can be detected by the redirector program 12 are in the preferred embodiment external events, internal events and networked events. External events preferably include: (1) receiving a command message (such as message C) from the user's mobile data communication device to begin redirection, or to execute some other command at the host, such as a command to enable the preferred list mode, or to add or subtract a particular sender from the preferred list; (2) receiving a similar message from some external computer; and (3) sensing that the user is no longer in the vicinity of the host system; although, alternatively, an external event can be any other detectable occurrence that is external to the host system. Internal events could be a calendar alarm, screen saver activation, keyboard timeout, programmable timer, or any other user-defined event that is internal to the host system. Networked events are user-defined messages that are transmitted to the host system from another computer coupled to the host system via a network to initiate redirection. These are just some of the events that could be used with the present invention to initiate replication of the user-selected data items from the host system 10 to the mobile device 24.

FIG. 1 shows an E-mail message A being communicated over LAN 14 from computer 26 to the user's desktop system 10 (also shown in FIG. 1 is an external message C, which could be an E-mail message from an Internet user, or could be a command message from the user's mobile device 24). Once the message A (or C) reaches the primary message store of the host system 10, it can be detected and acted upon by the redirection software 12. The redirection software 12 can use many methods of detecting new messages. The preferred method of detecting new messages is using Microsoft's® Messaging API (MAPI), in which programs, such as the redirector program 12, register for notifications or 'advise syncs' when changes to a mailbox take place. Other methods of detecting new messages could also be used with the present invention.

Assuming that the redirector program 12 is activated, and has been configured by the user (either through the sensing of an internal, network or external event) to replicate certain user data items (including messages of type A or C) to the mobile device 24, when the message A is received at the host system 10, the redirector program 12 detects its presence and prepares the message for redirection to the mobile device 24. In preparing the message for redirection, the redirector program 12 could compress the original message A, could compress the message header, or could encrypt the entire message A to create a secure link to the mobile device 24.

Also programmed into the redirector 12 is the address of the user's mobile data communication device 24, the type of device, and whether the device 24 can accept certain types of attachments, such as word processing or voice attachments. If the user's type of mobile device cannot accept these types of attachments, then the redirector 12 can be programmed to route the attachments to a fax or voice number where the user is located using an attached fax or voice machine 30.

The redirector may also be programmed with a preferred list mode that is configured by the user either at the host system 10, or remotely from the user's mobile data communication device by transmitting a command message C. The

preferred list contains a list of senders (other users) whose messages are to be redirected or a list of message characteristics that determine whether a message is to be redirected. If activated, the preferred list mode causes the redirector program 12 to operate like a filter, only redirecting certain user data items based on whether the data item was sent from a sender on the preferred list or has certain message characteristics that if present will trigger or suppress redirection of the message. In the example of FIG. 1, if desktop system 26 was operated by a user on the preferred list of host system 10, and the preferred list option was activated, then message A would be redirected. If, however, desktop 26 was operated by a user not on the host system's preferred list, then message A would not be redirected, even if the user of the host system had configured the redirector to push messages of type A. The user of the host system 10 can configure the preferred list directly from the desktop system, or, alternatively, the user can then send a command message (such as C) from the mobile device 24 to the desktop system 10 to activate the preferred list mode, or to add or delete certain senders or message characteristics from the preferred list that was previously configured. It should be appreciated that a redirection program could combine message characteristics and preferred sender lists to result in a more finely-tuned filter. Messages marked as low priority or that are simple return receipts or message read receipts, for example, could always be suppressed from redirection while messages from a particular sender would always be redirected.

After the redirector has determined that a particular message should be redirected, and it has prepared the message for redirection, the software 12 then sends the message A to a secondary memory store located in the mobile device 24, using whatever means are necessary. In the preferred embodiment this method is to send the message A back over the LAN 14, WAN 18, and through the wireless gateway 20 to the mobile data communication device 24. In doing so, the redirector preferably repackages message A as an E-mail with an outer envelope B that contains the addressing information of the mobile device 24, although alternative repackaging techniques and protocols could be used, such as a TCP/IP repackaging and delivery method (most commonly used in the alternative server configuration shown in FIG. 2). The wireless gateway 20 requires this outer envelope information B in order to know where to send the redirected message A. Once the message (A in B) is received by the mobile device 24, the outer envelope B is removed and the original message A is placed in the secondary memory store within the mobile device 24. By repackaging and removing the outer envelope in this manner, the present invention causes the mobile computer 24 to appear to be at the same physical location as the host system 10, thus creating a transparent system.

In the case where message C is representative of an external message from a computer on the Internet 18 to the host system 10, and the host 10 has been configured to redirect messages of type C, then in a similar manner to message A, message C would be repackaged with an outer envelope B and transmitted to the user's mobile device 24. In the case where message C is representative of a command message from the user's mobile device 24 to the host system 10, the command message C is not redirected, but is acted upon by the host system 10.

If the redirected user data item is an E-mail message, as described above, the user at the mobile device 24 sees the original subject, sender's address, destination address, carbon copy and blind carbon copy. When the user replies to this message, or when the user authors a new message, the software operating at the mobile device 24 adds a similar outer

envelope to the reply message (or the new message) to cause the message to be routed first to the user's host system 10, which then removes the outer envelope and redirects the message to the final destination, such as back to computer 26. In the preferred embodiment, this results in the outgoing redirected message from the user's host system 10 being sent using the E-mail address of the host mailbox, rather than the address of the mobile device, so that it appears to the recipient of the message that the message originated from the user's desktop system 10 rather than the mobile data communication device. Any replies to the redirected message will then be sent to the desktop system 10, which if it is still in redirector mode, will repackage the reply and resend it to the user's mobile data device, as described above.

FIG. 2 is an alternative system diagram showing the redirection of user data items from a network server 11 to the user's mobile data communication device 24, where the redirector software 12 is operating at the server 11. This configuration is particularly advantageous for use with message servers such as Microsoft's® Exchange Server, which is normally operated so that all user messages are kept in one central location or mailbox store on the server instead of in a store within each user's desktop PC. This configuration has the additional advantage of allowing a single system administrator to configure and keep track of all users having messages redirected. If the system includes encryption keys, these too can be kept at one place for management and update purposes.

In this alternative configuration, server 11 preferably maintains a user profile for each user's desktop system 10, 26, 28, including information such as whether a particular user can have data items redirected, which types of message and information to redirect, what events will trigger redirection, the address of the users' mobile data communication device 24, the type of mobile device, and the user's preferred list, if any. The event triggers are preferably detected at the user's desktop system 10, 26, 28 and can be any of the external, internal or network events listed above. The desktop systems 10, 26, 28 preferably detect these events and then transmit a message to the server computer 11 via LAN 14 to initiate redirection. Although the user data items are preferably stored at the server computer 11 in this embodiment, they could, alternatively, be stored at each user's desktop system 10, 26, 28, which would then transmit them to the server computer 11 after an event has triggered redirection.

As shown in FIG. 2, desktop system 26 generates a message A that is transmitted to and stored at the host system 11, which is the network server operating the redirector program 12. The message A is for desktop system 10, but in this embodiment, user messages are stored at the network server 11. When an event occurs at desktop system 10, an event trigger is generated and transmitted to the network server 11, which then determines who the trigger is from, whether that desktop has redirection capabilities, and if so, the server (operating the redirector program) uses the stored configuration information to redirect message A to the mobile computer 24 associated with the user of desktop system 10.

As described above with reference to FIG. 1, message C could be either a command message from a user's mobile data communication device 24, or it could be a message from an external computer, such as a computer connected to the Internet 18. If the message C is from an Internet computer to the user's desktop system 10, and the user has redirection capabilities, then the server 11 detects the message C, repackages it using electronic envelope B, and redirects the repackaged message (C in B) to the user's mobile device 24. If the mes-

sage C is a command message from the user's mobile device 24, then the server 11 simply acts upon the command message.

Turning now to FIG. 3, a block diagram showing the interaction of the redirector software 12 with additional components of the host system 10 of FIG. 1 (the desktop PC) to enable more fully the pushing of information from the host system 10 to the user's mobile data communication device 24 is set forth. These additional components are illustrative of the type of event-generating systems that can be configured and used with the redirector software 12, and of the type of repackaging systems that can be used to interface with the mobile communication device 24 to make it appear transparent to the user.

The desktop system 10 is connected to LAN 14, and can send and receive data, messages, signals, event triggers, etc., to and from other systems connected to the LAN 14 and to external networks 18, 22, such as the Internet or a wireless data network, which are also coupled to the LAN 14. In addition to the standard hardware, operating system, and application programs associated with a typical microcomputer or workstation, the desktop system 10 includes the redirector program 12, a TCP/IP sub-system 42, an E-mail sub-system 44, a primary data storage device 40, a screen saver sub-system 48, and a keyboard sub-system 46. Redirector program 12 can be stored on primary data storage device 40 or other non-transitory computer-accessible medium, but is not limited to such. The TCP/IP and E-mail subsystems 42, 44 are examples of repackaging systems that can be used to achieve the transparency of the present invention, and the screen saver and keyboard sub-systems 46, 48 are examples of event generating systems that can be configured to generate event messages or signals that trigger redirection of the user selected data items.

The method steps carried out by the redirector program 12 are described in more detail in FIG. 4. The basic functions of this program are: (1) configure and setup the user-defined event trigger points that will start redirection; (2) configure the types of user data items for redirection and optionally configure a preferred list of senders whose messages are to be redirected; (3) configure the type and capabilities of the user's mobile data communication device; (4) receive messages and signals from the repackaging systems and the event generating systems; and (5) command and control the redirection of the user-selected data items to the mobile data communication device via the repackaging systems. Other functions not specifically enumerated could also be integrated into this program.

The E-Mail sub-system 44 is the preferred link to repackaging the user-selected data items for transmission to the mobile data communication device 24, and preferably uses industry standard mail protocols, such as SMTP, POP, IMAP, MIME and RFC-822, to name but a few. The E-Mail sub-system 44 can receive messages A from external computers on the LAN 14, or can receive messages C from some external network such as the Internet 18 or a wireless data communication network 22, and stores these messages in the primary data store 40. Assuming that the redirector 12 has been triggered to redirect messages of this type, the redirector detects the presence of any new messages and instructs the E-Mail system 44 to repackage the message by placing an outer wrapper B about the original message A (or C), and by providing the addressing information of the mobile data communication device 24 on the outer wrapper B. As noted above, this outer wrapper B is removed by the mobile device 24, and the original message A (or C) is then recovered, thus making the mobile device 24 appear to be the desktop system 10.

11

In addition, the E-Mail sub-system **44** receives messages back from the mobile device **24** having an outer wrapper with the addressing information of the desktop system **10**, and strips this information away so that the message can be routed to the proper sender of the original message A (or C). The E-Mail sub-system also receives command messages C from the mobile device **24** that are directed to the desktop system **10** to trigger redirection or to carry out some other function. The functionality of the E-Mail sub-system **44** is controlled by the redirector program **12**.

The TCP/IP sub-system **42** is an alternative repackaging system. It includes all of the functionality of the E-Mail sub-system **44**, but instead of repackaging the user-selected data items as standard E-mail messages, this system repackages the data items using special-purpose TCP/IP packaging techniques. This type of special-purpose sub-system is useful in situations where security and improved speed are important to the user. The provision of a special-purpose wrapper that can only be removed by special software on the mobile device **24** provides the added security, and the bypassing of E-mail store and forward systems can improve speed and real-time delivery.

As described previously, the present invention can be triggered to begin redirection upon detecting numerous external, internal and networked events, or trigger points. Examples of external events include: receiving a command message from the user's mobile data communication device **24** to begin redirection; receiving a similar message from some external computer; sensing that the user is no longer in the vicinity of the host system; or any other event that is external to the host system. Internal events could be a calendar alarm, screen saver activation, keyboard timeout, programmable timer, or any other user-defined event that is internal to the host system. Networked events are user-defined messages that are transmitted to the host system from another computer that is connected to the host system via a network to initiate redirection.

The screen saver and keyboard sub-systems **46**, **48** are examples of systems that are capable of generating internal events. Functionally, the redirector program **12** provides the user with the ability to configure the screen saver and keyboard systems so that under certain conditions an event trigger will be generated that can be detected by the redirector **12** to start the redirection process. For example, the screen saver system can be configured so that when the screen saver is activated, after, for example, 10 minutes of inactivity on the desktop system, an event trigger is transmitted to the redirector **12**, which starts redirecting the previously selected user data items. In a similar manner the keyboard sub-system can be configured to generate event triggers when no key has been depressed for a particular period of time, thus indicating that redirection should commence. These are just two examples of the numerous application programs and hardware systems internal to the host system **10** that can be used to generate internal event triggers.

FIGS. **4** and **5**, set forth, respectively, flow charts showing the steps carried out by the redirector software **12** operating at the host system **10**, and the steps carried out by the mobile data communication device **24** in order to interface with the host system. Turning first to FIG. **4**, at step **50**, the redirector program **12** is started and initially configured. The initial configuration of the redirector **12** includes: (1) defining the event triggers that the user has determined will trigger redirection; (2) selecting the user data items for redirection; (3) selecting the repackaging sub-system, either standard E-Mail, or special-purpose technique; (4) selecting the type of data communication device, indicating whether and what type of attachments the device is capable of receiving and

12

processing, and inputting the address of the mobile device; and (5) configuring the preferred list of user selected senders whose messages are to be redirected.

FIG. **4** sets forth the basic steps of the redirector program **12** assuming it is operating at a desktop system **10**, such as shown in FIG. **1**. If the redirector **12** is operating at a network server **11**, as shown in FIG. **2**, then additional configuration steps may be necessary to enable redirection for a particular desktop system **10**, **26**, **28** connected to the server, including: (1) setting up a profile for the desktop system indicating its address, events that will trigger redirection, and the data items that are to be redirected upon detecting an event; (2) maintaining a storage area at the server for the data items; and (3) storing the type of data communication device to which the desktop system's data items are to be redirected, whether and what type of attachments the device is capable of receiving and processing, and the address of the mobile device.

Once the redirector program is configured **50**, the trigger points (or event triggers) are enabled at step **52**. The program **12** then waits **56** for messages and signals **54** to begin the redirection process. A message could be an E-Mail message or some other user data item than may have been selected for redirection, and a signal could be a trigger signal, or could be some other type of signal that has not been configured as an event trigger. When a message or signal is detected, the program determines **58** whether it is one of the trigger events that has been configured by the user to signal redirection. If so, then at step **60** a trigger flag is set, indicating that subsequently received user data items (in the form of messages) that have been selected for redirection should be pushed to the user's mobile data communication device **24**.

If the message or signal **54** is not a trigger event, the program then determines at steps **62**, **68** and **66** whether the message is, respectively, a system alarm **62**, an E-Mail message **64**, or some other type of information that has been selected for redirection. If the message or signal is none of these three items, then control returns to step **56**, where the redirector waits for additional messages **54** to act upon. If, however the message is one of these three types of information, then the program **12** determines, at step **68**, whether the trigger flag has been set, indicating that the user wants these items redirected to the mobile device. If the trigger flag is set, then at step **70**, the redirector **12** causes the repackaging system (E-Mail or TCP/IP) to add the outer envelope to the user data item, and at step **72** the repackaged data item is then redirected to the user's mobile data communication device **24** via LAN **14**, WAN **18**, wireless gateway **20** and wireless network **22**. Control then returns to step **56** where the program waits for additional messages and signals to act upon. Although not shown explicitly in FIG. **4**, after step **68**, the program could, if operating in the preferred list mode, determine whether the sender of a particular data item is on the preferred list, and if not, then the program would skip over steps **70** and **72** and proceed directly back to step **56**. If the sender was on the preferred list, then control would similarly pass to steps **70** and **72** for repackaging and transmission of the message from the preferred list sender.

FIG. **5** sets forth the method steps carried out by the user's mobile data communication device **24** in order to interface to the redirector program **12** of the present invention. At step **80** the mobile software is started and the mobile device **24** is configured to operate with the system of the present invention, including, for example, storing the address of the user's desktop system **10**.

At step **82**, the mobile device waits for messages and signals **84** to be generated or received. Assuming that the redirector software **12** operating at the user's desktop system **10**

13

is configured to redirect upon receiving a message from the user's mobile device 24, at step 86, the user can decide to generate a command message that will start redirection. If the user does so, then at step 88 the redirection message is composed and sent to the desktop system 10 via the wireless network 22, through the wireless gateway 20, via the Internet 18 to the LAN 14, and is finally routed to the desktop machine 10. In this situation where the mobile device 24 is sending a message directly to the desktop system 10, no outer wrapper is added to the message (such as message C in FIGS. 1 and 2). In addition to the redirection signal, the mobile device 24 could transmit any number of other commands to control the operation of the host system, and in particular the redirector program 12. For example, the mobile 24 could transmit a command to put the host system into the preferred list mode, and then could transmit additional commands to add or subtract certain senders from the preferred list. In this manner, the mobile device 24 can dynamically limit the amount of information being redirected to it by minimizing the number of senders on the preferred list. Other example commands include: (1) a message to change the configuration of the host system to enable the mobile device 24 to receive and process certain attachments; and (2) a message to instruct the host system to redirect an entire data item to the mobile device in the situation where only a portion of a particular data item has been redirected.

Turning back to FIG. 5, if the user signal or message is not a direct message to the desktop system 10 to begin redirection (or some other command), then control is passed to step 90, which determines if a message has been received. If a message is received by the mobile, and it is a message from the user's desktop 10, as determined at step 92, then at step 94 a desktop redirection flag is set "on" for this message, and control passes to step 96 where the outer envelope is removed. Following step 96, or in the situation where the message is not from the user's desktop, as determined at step 92, control passes to step 98, which displays the message for the user on the mobile device's display. The mobile unit 24 then returns to step 82 and waits for additional messages or signals.

If the mobile device 24 determines that a message has not been received at step 90, then control passes to step 100, where the mobile determines whether there is a message to send. If not, then the mobile unit returns to step 82 and waits for additional messages or signals. If there is at least one message to send, then at step 102 the mobile determines whether it is a reply message to a message that was received by the mobile unit. If the message to send is a reply message, then at step 108, the mobile determines whether the desktop redirection flag is on for this message. If the redirection flag is not on, then at step 106 the reply message is simply transmitted from the mobile device to the destination address via the wireless network 22. If, however, the redirection flag is on, then at step 110 the reply message is repackaged with the outer envelope having the addressing information of the user's desktop system 10, and the repackaged message is then transmitted to the desktop system 10 at step 106. As described above, the redirector program 12 executing at the desktop system then strips the outer envelope and routes the reply message to the appropriate destination address using the address of the desktop system as the "from" field, so that to the recipient of the redirected message, it appears as though it originated from the user's desktop system rather than the mobile data communication device.

If, at step 102, the mobile determines that the message is not a reply message, but an original message, then control passes to step 104, where the mobile determines if the user is using the redirector software 12 at the desktop system 10, by

14

checking the mobile unit's configuration. If the user is not using the redirector software 12, then the message is simply transmitted to the destination address at step 106. If, however, the mobile determines that the user is using the redirector software 12 at the desktop system 10, then control passes to step 110, where the outer envelope is added to the message. The repackaged original message is then transmitted to the desktop system 10 at step 106, which, as described previously, strips the outer envelope and routes the message to the correct destination. Following transmission of the message at step 106, control of the mobile returns to step 82 and waits for additional messages or signals.

Having described in detail the preferred embodiments of the present invention, including the preferred methods of operation, it is to be understood that this operation could be carried out with different elements and steps. This preferred embodiment is presented only by way of example and is not meant to limit the scope of the present invention which is defined by the following claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A method, operable at a mobile communication device, comprising:

determining whether a message received over a wireless network is from a mail system associated with a user of the mobile communication device;

upon determining that the message is from the mail system, setting a redirection flag at the mobile communication device to selectively control how a reply message generated at the mobile communication device is to be addressed; and

removing an outer envelope from the message prior to presenting the message to the user.

2. The method as recited in claim 1, further comprising upon determining that the message is not from the mail system, presenting the message to the user.

3. The method as recited in claim 1, further comprising transmitting a reply message to the mail system, wherein the reply message is in response to the received message and contains only a difference between the received message and any additional material generated by the user.

4. The method as recited in claim 1, further comprising upon receiving a reply message from the user, determining whether the redirection flag is on and responsive to determining that the redirection flag is on, wrapping the reply message in an outer envelope for transmission to the mail system and responsive to determining that the redirection flag is not on, transmitting the reply message to a recipient.

5. The method as recited in claim 1, further comprising upon receiving a new message from the user, determining whether the user is using redirector software and responsive to determining that the user is using redirector software, wrapping the new message in an outer envelope for transmission to the mail system and responsive to determining that the user is not using redirector software, transmitting the new message to a recipient.

6. A mobile communication device, comprising:

instructions configured to determine whether a message received over a wireless network is from a mail system associated with a user of the mobile communication device; and

instructions configured to set a redirection flag at the mobile communication device to selectively control how a reply message generated at the mobile communication device is to be addressed; and

15

instructions configured to remove an outer envelope from the message prior to presenting the message to the user upon determining that the message is from the mail system;

wherein said instructions are stored in a non-transitory memory of the mobile communication device. 5

7. The mobile communication device as recited in claim 6, further comprising instructions for presenting the message to the user upon determining that the message is not from the mail system.

8. The mobile communication device as recited in claim 6, further comprising instructions for transmitting a reply message to the mail system, wherein the reply message is in response to the received message and contains only a difference between the received message and any additional material generated by the user. 10 15

9. The mobile communication device as recited in claim 6, further comprising instructions, responsive to receiving a reply message from the user, for determining whether the redirection flag is on; and

16

responsive to determining that the redirection flag is on, wrapping the reply message in an outer envelope for transmission to the mail system and responsive to determining that the redirection flag is not on, transmitting the reply message to a recipient.

10. The mobile communication device as recited in claim 6, further comprising instructions, responsive to receiving a new message from the user, for determining whether the user is using redirector software; and

responsive to determining that the user is using redirector software, wrapping the new message in an outer envelope for transmission to the mail system and responsive to determining that the user is not using redirector software, transmitting the new message to a recipient.

* * * * *